P.F. 59297

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895-96.

192 .

(L. R.)

File No. 118 of 95

Serial Nos. 1 to 16.

Later references.

p. 45

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 16.

In ~~Part~~ B for October 1898.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Kunvar Kirpa Narain Singh of Mandigaon, Mandgiri Tahsil regarding the remission of assessment

---

Countermarked MSKhan

WD 2232—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Indexed

The assessments in question and the prices at which they obtained them are as follows:—

Survey No.	Assessment		Price paid (including costs)
134	2.30	11 = 0 — 0	0 — 4 — 3
138	5.11	16 — —	0 — 12 — 9
142	4.22	22 — —	0 — 4 — 3
143	2.28	13 — —	0 — 4 — 3
145	4.23	22 — —	0 — 4 — 3
146	4.21	22 — —	0 — 4 — 3
147	6.16	30 — —	0 — 4 — 3
		136	2 — 6 — 3

The Petitioner purchased these parcels after delivery of possession, on which the order appealed against was based, in the belief:—

"That the lands in question for the ~~year~~ purpose that the tank would fill & would be able to cultivate them ~~and the lands~~ but though it is true not to cultivate (because) the tank have not yet filled & the lands, however have received one or two months supply. although tanks all over this Taluk all over this District all over this County are quite full, there is no irrigation (water) & the crops have not yet fully. The time is favourable until about 15 January, & I am advised that it would fill this year, I pray—

(1) if rain comes within 1 month from this date & tank receives a full supply so as to come fully in the Ayacut this year the Kandayam of the lands may be reserved for me

(2) otherwise, and in case there has been some crop, that my right to the lands may be spared & the assessment remitted for

Pet^r 20 1883

Pet^r Shanker obtains the ends of the 2 roomⁿ

Ag 8/3/98

Pet^r's witness are
actually present
by rule 6 Order
& Petitioner at the
last month
a Lady & family before
so that we were not
to have appear & as his
done in this case -
& see a statute
Petitioner was
this month

Dft Encr Sent up
Ag 9/3/98

DJ Coombe's order since received

1) Leave
2) Stamp duty
3) Appeal against DJ Coombe's order passed under para 1 of Rule XX of the LR Rules
4) Not a Coomal Case

- Pet^r claims that the lands in question still belong to him
The facts stated in So No 9760 of 30/4/97 (SNo 10 people) Pet 1636 show the reverse, the Saguali chit having been cancelled & the Kandayam remitted. If the Saguali chit was not cancelled how could the Kandayam be Collect remitted, Pet^r's contention is misconceived. This Pet^n & the subsequent pet were linked with one file & were lost sight of till now

remarked in para 5 of No 9760 of 30.4.97 request for the allotment of the lands has been granted with [illegible] & applicant has been informed of the lands ceased to him & thereafter declined to enter into the Agreement as complained of

28. 9. 98

the cess cannot
(3) If there is no cess, the assessment for the year may be remitted."

Subsequent to the filing of the appeal in this case, the Auditor made an inquiry in order to ascertain the appeal called for. He returned the case with a statement before the Auditor on the 22nd March 1895, ~~stating~~ that he did not consider that the lands that the assessment should might be cancelled and the assessment deducted from the accounts, and that it was required to consider what action ought to be taken.

In submitting this statement the Asst Collector reported as follows on 28-3-95:—

The lands were not at all cultivated by Petr (Arul Nadagan). Last year there was Copious rain all over the Taluk & the Cauvery had a full supply but in 4 or 5 villages near about Pudupatti the Kuruvai rains were not sufficient the Kuruvai did not fail. The Petr took up the lands in the hope that the Kuruvai rains would be copious & he could raise Sundakkai crop

He is a Sambhog payer & have not Pandaram The ordinary Kandayam is itself paid with difficulty he is poor & unable to pay the assessment of these lands I submit this for Mr Stewart's consideration and recommend that the Sundakkai may be cancelled & the amount due deducted from the account

The precedent cited by Petr. of a Sundakkai having been cancelled after cess & issued taken back, seems to be a fact.

M 7/5

L R 118-95-A1.

1895-96

No. 2547.

Name of Petitioner, Venkatesa Shanbhog &

Village, Madigeri.

Taluk, Madikeri.

District, Kanara

Date of Appl. 12-12-95

Date of Receipt, 30-1-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 2.

Last

Returned the petn for insufficiency of stamp & for not being accompanied with the first order passed by the DC

31/1/96

Endorsed on the petition filed

Returned

Regd

3-2-96.

4-2-96

Copy of petitioner appellant's order complied & hindrances

Stamp supplied in time

The order refers to petn praying for remission of assess on wet lands in Survey No. 134 &c. The Asst Collr has reported that the petn, who is Shanbhog, is aware of the rules, cannot be complied with

Not a Council case

Submitted

3/3 Perkins 3-3-96

Called for the 3-3-96

adjourned

4/3/96

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the Commr's order refusing to remit a portion of the assessment on lands watered by the Madigeri tank, for loss of cultivation, scarcity of water.

States that S.Nos 134, 139, 142, 143, 145, 146 & 147 fell to the tank of the above village was sold in auction and purchased by the Petr on 20th April 1895 & the sale was confirmed on 2-7-95 but the lands were handed over to the Petr on 9-8-95 by the Amanat Shanbhog, that the

the season for cultivation land
just then and the use made of
Paice ~~was~~ ever dry crops; that
since the Rain ceased
continued the drought the could
cultivate the lands when the Rains
was full; that since only a very small
quantity of Rains entered the Tanks
it was very insufficient to Paice a
wet crop and that the reason for a
dry crop was ~~not planted~~ already;
that in the year 1890 the assessments for the year Virodhi,
of L. Nos 224, 225, 228, 230, 231, 232,
234, fed by the same Tanks, were
~~remitted~~ lightened for a similar reason
viz:- insufficiency of water; that the time
for the growth of dry crop which then
existed was last in the present case,
and that consequently the assessment
on this lands viz Rs 30/- should now be
remitted to him

Prays that the assessment on
this lands may be reduced or remitted. (Endorsed in ink)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO.
DATE

No. 2547/2

From

The Under Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

To

The Collector of Nellore.

Dated 12th October 1896. Received 16th October 1896.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for your information a copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, No. 1846 dated 7th October 1896, and to request that you will report whether the statements therein contained are correct.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Under Secretary.

By order

From

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

To

The Under Secretary to Government,
Public Department.

Dated 7th October 1896.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for the information of Government a copy of a letter from the Collector of Nellore, No. 2547/2 dated 5th October 1896, and to request that you will report whether the statements therein contained are correct.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Secretary.

2547 of 95
Reference last up. - 20/2

1895-96

No. 2868

Name of Petitioner, Venkatakau

Village, Shanbogue of Madigesi

Taluk, Maddagiri

District, Tumkur

Date of Arzi, 9-2-96

Date of Receipt, 13-2-96.

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 3

L.R. 118-95-2

This Office Encl. to R. 2547/3 5-2-96

ABSTRACT.

Petition No. 2547 returned attached with another document stating to make up deficit stamp & appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr. Tumkur dated which states as follows:

" The Petitioners request cannot be granted

Ref 20/2

Bller.

18/2-

MYSORE DEMANDS CHECKS
PATIENTS DEPARTMENT
DATE 13-2-96

ಸಂ.117-95 ಶ್ರೀ ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಕರೀಂ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಕಲ್ಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ.67 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1 ಎಕರೆ 29 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಆದೇಶ ದಿನಾಂಕ 4-12-95 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಕಲ್ಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ.496 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1 ಎಕರೆ 29 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಆದೇಶ ದಿನಾಂಕ 14-12-95 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಿಮ ಆದೇಶವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಉಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಟ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 7-2-96 ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು

1638
Enc 5

L.R. 118-95-3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition~~s~~ ~~Nos. 2547~~ and ~~2868~~ dated 10-1-96 and 9-2-96 respectively received at the Dewan's Office from Venkat Rao, Shanbhog of Madagai, Maddagiri Taluk ~~Tumkur Dt~~, appealing against the Tumkur Dy Court's order refusing his application for remission ~~to remit a portion of assessment~~ on his wetland ~~for failure of crop owing to insufficiency of water supply~~.

is forwarded, together with ~~its~~ three enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with ~~its~~ three enclosures should be returned.

By order,

for Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
6-3-1896

6-3-96

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

L. R. 118-95-4

Gen. 31.]

189 6

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8471
L. 720
14 MAY 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From The Deputy Commr
Tinnevelly District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Fort St George

Dated 11th Revenue Department

Despatched

Received 5 May 1896

Record No. 5796
No.

Enclosure No. one V. file

Subject Official Decision
No 681 activities &c
of 1608

Tinnevelly 5th
May 1896

Reporting on the
petitions of certain
Pariahs & others of
Nanjapalayam in the
Tinnevelly Taluk

All the Govt
papers connected with
the subject of
this letter was
called for with
both orders giving
the year wherein
the Petitioners claimed
rights — 2 & 3rd
an extract from
the Fasli which
was the year
pertaining

5/6/96

Pariah
5/6/96 Draft 6/6/96

P. A. Navalé
13/5/96

Submitted with original Petn
Connected papers under reference
Nº 2547-
15/5 16/5 18/5/96

No. 877
Civil Side

Tumkur District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Tumkur 11th May 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore - General and Revenue Departments.

Sir

With reference to your official memo No Rev 1638 dated the 5th March 1896 forwarding for record with entire proceedings, petition from Venkata Rao Shanbogue of Madagadi in the Madalagiri Taluk appealing against my order rejecting his application for reduction or remission of assessment on his arecanut lands. I have the honor to state as follows.

2. On the 30th April 1895 one Gaddeya Hanumanthaiya

Gaddeya Hanumanthaiya

Dodda Honnegowda receiving
at Nidagesi presented a Dar-
khast to the Amildar of the
Madalagiri Taluk applying for
the marginality notification survey Nos.
of the Village of Nidagesi for the
year Manmatha. As there were
trees on the lands, they were sold
by public auction and Nos 134,
138, 142, 143, 145, 146 and 147 were
purchased by Petitioner Venkata
Rao and he was granted a
Cowle thereon.

3. On the 16th November 1895
Shanbogue Venkata Rao peti-
tioned to the Office stating that
he took up the lands with the
expectation that the tank would
fill and that he would there-
by be able to cultivate them,
that his expectation was not rea-
lized, and that if the tank
filled within a month, the
assessment on the lands might
be

54/2, 125, 134, 138, 142, 143, 145, 146 and 147.

X on 19-6-95

2) 9-8-95

be levied from him, but if it failed, the assessment might be remitted - Thereupon an order No 67 dated the 4th December 1895 was issued from this Office allowing that the prayer of the Petitioner who was a Eurasian and Convenant with Revenue Rules could not be granted. Against this Order he has now appealed to Government.

4. The entire proceedings together with the enclosures of the Appeal Memo under reply are herewith submitted -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant.

Sd/- [illegible]
Offg. Deputy Commissioner

GV

L. R. 118-96-5

Gen. 31]

draft (5)

1896

From

The Secretary to Govt

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Dated 9th June 1896.

Despatched 11

Received

General No. 1072
P. No. 2,104

Enclosure No. 1 Petn with Petrs—

His letter No. 877 dated 11th May 1896, reporting on the petition of Venkata Rao Shanbhog of Midigesi in the Maddagiri Taluk, praying for reduction or remission of assessment on his wet lands.

—

Has the honor to request that the Deputy Commissioner will be so good as to report whether the whole (or what portion) of the stretch of the tank referred to therein, was cultivated with wet crops during the year to which the petitioner's duncast refers, and to Submit an extract from

…ith reference to the
…illor's order on his
…Comis No 877 of

L.R. 118-95-6

…der the
…ulum tries
…ns can the
…mpress of
…Kundagan
…bued for may
…sanctioned.
…entry the year
…one of scanty
…ifall and the
…ll did not mate
…quate supply
…ater. Even the
…ls under occupa
…nd cultivated
…r dry lands
…d obtained the
…which …
…ns unable to
…ate on the
…the water. …
…ult from the
…mencement
…s been praying
…cancelment
…sultant. …
…misfortune related
…accession of
…andagan
…rvice of the Con
…in he prays for
…10-96 Mad

Gen. 31

2400.

1896 P.199

From The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Genl &
Rev. Departments.

Dated 25th

Despatched 26th } September
Received 1896.

General No. 338.
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Ver: file
of this docket No 10722
P. 2104
dated 8th June last.

Submits further
report in connection
with the petition of
Venkat Rao Shanbogue
of Nidagere in the
Nuddagiri Taluk.

Rec'd 29/9 Rec'd 30/9/96
Refer to Secretary
Read 30/9/96

No 338 Deputy Commissioner's Office
Enc: 1 Ver: file 18 Jaffna District
Dated, Jaffna, 25th September
1896.

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments

Sir,

In reply to your Docket No:
10722 dated 8th June last, I have
P2104
the honor to submit herewith an
extract from the Pahani Register
of lands under the Nidagesi ~~en~~
Jaffna in the Maddagiri Taluk
for the year Manmatha, from
which it will be seen that out of
the total Achchkat of the tank,
No 107 was cultivated with "Punja"
Paddy, and that twenty nine Nos:
were cultivated with Paddy with
the aid of the water of the wells in
the gardens which they adjoin.
All the other Nos: were either
cultivated with dry crops or were

from the Pahang entries

for that year. 16

2. The records and the 2 petitions with their enclosures received with reference above are herewith returned for reference and resubmission.

Draft

for Secretary

6/6/96

6/6/96

allowed to lie fallow, as the tanks received but a scanty supply of water. Petitioners Lands Nos. 134, 135, 142, 148, 145, 146 and 147 are in Chennacoor Zamjar. Their assessment amounts to Rupees 136-4-0

2. The revenue records tallying with the Cadastral Survey report No. 228 dated 31st August, 1876 are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Sunkur District

L.R. 118-95-7

20 (1)

Jaipur-PK 1/14

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Jaipur District

No. 5.C.L./947 dt. 18-2-56 from Veterinary (Live-stock)

In letter dt. 33.1 dated the 25th Sept. 1956 requesting further report in connection with the petition of Sarvashri Mulchand for removal of the attachment of certain lands obtained by him at the sale held on the 7 June 1955.

With reference to the above the Tehsildar Sambhar in his report of the 31st August 1956 therein it is stated that the Sarvashri have sold the attached lands since the month of May 1956, and the purchasers have not been informed about the attachment for which the fees have been paid up to date and which

the Secretary of State of Texas in his custody.

The seal of State affixed

By order

8/2 Jr Secretary

such payments
columns [illegible]
in any further order as respects
interest in this matter or
that such an
instrument fact ought
to have been mentioned
in the report.

18/12/98

L.R. 118-95-8

Dated 19-11-97

Rep. put up

AK 21/4.

Submitted with reference to the 2nd councillor's order on Jurisdiction by Govt's No. 338 of 25.9.96. ~~Secretary~~ and on the despatch-book of 18.12.96.

21/4/97

The correspondence relates to the [illegible] of Mantoo Lohkar Rao for remission of assessment (amounting to Rs 5756/) for the year 1895-96 on certain land obtained by deed-gift on 19 June 1895.

The order passing the ~~proposition~~ is on hold in abeyance pending receipt of further explanation in the report.

The Rg Council states that the amount has been paid in 1896 under protest and recommends remission of charge.

2nd Council

26/4/97

R06 Pm.
28/4/97

1328

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.L.N. 0857
19 APR 1897
DEWANSICS

189

From

Jurisdiction
Canara Division
District

To The Secretary to
the Govt of Mysore Govt
Revenue Department.

Dated 17th April 1897

Despatched 17th April 1897

Received

General No. 543
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Vernacular file
Original letter No. 5.2
Dated 3rd December 1896.

Under the Circumstances represented I recommend that Vaidi Rao Lakshman's application for remission of the assessment of the Rs 5756/ on the land in question for the year 1895-96 be granted. The lands were entirely waste & uncultivated for the year

No 840
Encl: 1 Petition file

Tumkur District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur, 7th April 1897

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore - General
and Revenue Department -

Sir,

In reply to your Secret
No 53:2
P.No 947 dated the 18th December 1896
I have the honor to state that
when I had encamped at Huta-
-gei in May 1896 the Amildar
of the Madalagiri Taluk, on being
questioned about the unsettled
balances of land revenue of his
Taluk for the year Ananantha
brought to my notice that a sum
of Rupees 130 was due by Petitioner
Channegowda Kenkata Rao of Hula-
-gei. Thereupon I sent for the
Petitioner

petitioner and directed him to pay up the arrears under protest pending the orders of Government on his appeal petition. In obedience to the order, petitioner paid up the 130 Rupees due by him at the end of May 1896. As the petitioner's lands were not at all cultivated during the year Immanatha (1895-96) he may be spared from payment of half the Kandayam for that year, should the Government be pleased to take a favorable view of his case. If this is sanctioned, credit will be given to petitioner for the amount to be remitted, in the adjustment of his account with Government for the current year.

2. The remarks herein made with Tradelagiri Tahsildar's No 753/54 dated 16th February 1897 are here-with respectfully submitted.

R. O. R 118-95-9

26 (10). Read Arzee receipt of the Petition No. 254 dated 12th January 1896, from Venkata Row Shanbhog of Midigesi, Maddagiri Taluk, complaining against the order of the Dy. Commr of Tumkur refusing to grant remission of assessment as applied for on certain wet lands in the village for the year Manmatha, 1895-96.

2. Letter from the Dy Commr Tumkur District No. 877 dated 11th May 1896, submitting report on the subject of the Petitioner's complaint together with the vernacular Proceedings therewith connected

3. Letter from — do — — do — No. 338 dated 29th September 1896, submitting further information called for in the matter

Read the Petition and the correspondence

4 Letter No. 840 dated 17th April 1897 from the Dy Commr Tumkur &c, submitting further information

Ref a sale held on the 19 June 1895 on receipt of a Darkhast from one Chikka Honne Gowda, the wet lands noted in the margin* situated below the Midagesi Tank and assessed at Rs 136 were purchased by the petitioner

Respondence ending with No. 840 dated 17/4/97 from the Dy Commr Tumkur &c reporting as above.

P.

No. 9760 dated 30.4.97
P. 1636

V. Revd.

Survey No	area A - G	Assmt Rs
134	2 - 30	11
138	3 - 11	16
142	4 - 22	22
143	2 - 25	13
145	4 - 23	22
146	4 - 21	22
147	6 - 16	30
		Rs 136

for Rs 2-6-3. The sale was confirmed and he was granted Sanvali chit on the 9th August 1895. On the 16th November 1895 he represented that the tank not having received a sufficient supply of water, there was till then no prospect of his raising the Vaisakhi crop, that should there be rainfall thereafter the assessment for Manmatha (1895-96) might be recovered from any crop that might be raised, and that if there was no crop, the assessment might be remitted. His application was rejected by the Dy Commissioner on 4th December 1895. In his appeal, the Petitioner refers to cases in which on similar grounds remission was granted to certain Darkhastdars in Virodhi, and prays that the sum of Rs 136 due on the lands obtained by him for Manmatha (1895-96) may be remitted.

2. The proceedings show that petitioner was not in a position

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obt. Servant.

Wm Macmillan

Deputy Commissioner

28

to estimate any of the lands required to that year, as the supply of water in the tanks was scanty; that petitioner for the same reason prayed, by a petition dated the 4th January 1896, for the cancellation of the sale to him (on 7th September 1895) of another land under the same tanks, Survey No 54; and that the A.G. Commr in granting this application stated that the purchase money would not be returned and that petitioner might, if he wanted the land, obtain possession of it in the following year. The Auditor States that the fact alleged by petitioner, of Sagumali chits having under similar circumstances been cancelled on a former occasion, is true.

3. The petitioner in a deposition given by him on 23rd December prayed for the cancellation of the Sagumali chits issued to him in respect of the lands in question and this prayer

L.R. 118-95-10-30 (9) 7-5-97

was supported by the Amildar in his report dated 25th Idem.

4. Under the peculiar circumstances of this case, the Govt is pleased to sanction the remission of the Kandayam applied for. Evidently the year was one of scanty rainfall in that locality and the lands did not receive adequate supply of water. It is reported that ~~a large number~~ of even the lands under a perennial source. The latter, was not cultivated in that year. The petitioner has from the commencement been praying for the curtailment of his Assessment.

2 draft

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
lands.

nearly all the lands under this tank have a source of water supply such as wells which were either cultivated with dry crops or left fallen. The Petitioner's the lands only land in that year 29/4/97 & the want of water prevented him from cultivating the land.

[illegible] Asst Engineer
29/4/97 [illegible]
30/4/97
[illegible]
[illegible]

To.

The Deputy [illegible], Tirukkoyilur

with records.

[illegible]
7-5-97

RD5/4

JAMAICA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Genl 4417
7C 763
1 DEC 1897
THIS OFFICE

31

L.R. 118-95-11

From The Deputy Commissioner
Inland Revenue Department.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Myore, Genl
& Rev: Depts —

Dated 8th November
Despatched 1st December 1897
Received 1897

General No. 465.
No.

Enclosure No. 15 record
Certificate for Exemption
of refund claim for
Rupees — on behalf
of one Venkat Rao
Licensee of Liquor
Revenue Farm

Records Records
at S. No. 9 in
20/12

Rec'd
18/12/97

Peter Bo
Please send us the Govt Resp
Circular in force & the letter

21/12 Reply put up
AK 22/12/97 Read 31/12/97
Copies sent to C.S. C.S. C.S.
CS 18/1

on this ground the Government have in Pro: No. 33 / 9760 / P.1606 of the 30th April 1897 been pleased to sanction the remission of the assessment on the lands for 1895-96 as the amount had been Collected from Chandrappa Venkatarao and Credited to the Treasury before the receipt of the Government Pro: above quoted, the accompanying Refund Bill is submitted for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for payment—

2. The Vernacular proceedings connected with the Case are herewith forwarded for reference. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

K. Narasimhayya
for Deputy Commissioner

No. 465. Deputy Commissioner's Office
Rev. Vol. file Tinnevelly District
Dated 5th November 11

To The Secretary to the
Government of Madras,
General Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for consideration this proposal of Rajas Thandapani Pandiyan Pandithurai Thevar, minor Tahsildar of Ettayapuram.

2. The said Thevar pleads that the inadequate total pay under the Pandiyan Law at the present rate and his own private Capacity for the support of his family, are not able to estimate the funds during the year 1911, as the last one which they were deficient did not receive a sufficient supply of water and

X 1913, 38, 1912, 143, 143, 144, 146, 1911, 1910, 1909, 1907.

hrb

(1) 2 Rs Stamp affixed

(2) order of Inam Commr appointing Sri Gurudas

Mlg.

3/2/98

There are Reps. in this case

Put them up L.R. 118-95-12

M 8/2/98

No Veri Records for Refers

Mlg

9/2/98

1898

No. 1385

Name of Petitioner Venkatarya Sambayya

Village Madigeri

Taluk Madigere

District Kadur

Date of Arzi [illegible]

Date of Receipt [illegible]

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure None

ABSTRACT.

[illegible] that he purchases certain inam lands under his [illegible] in a suit for arrears of rent during the Vilambinama year; that owing to scarcity of water in applied in the Govt. for remission of assessment which was accordingly granted vide Sadr No 2547 dt 4-5-97; that he applied to the Taluk and D.D. authorities to have the said lands registered in his name, but in reply the 1st Asst Commr under orders from the Dy Commr granted the following order dt 3-3-98:—

"Inam enquiry in Darkhast Sl. Nos 134-138-42-43-45-46-47 under the Madigeri Taluk. As time was granted in the Darkhast proceedings called for a cancellation of said Darkhast. Accordingly the

(1) 2 Rs Stamp affixed

(2) order of Inam Commr appointed Sri Gurudas

Mlg.

3/2/98

There are Reps. in this case

Put them up L.R. 118-95-12

M 8/2/98

No Veri Records for Refers

Mlg

9/2/98

[illegible]

Rd on 24/2/98. 12-2-98

Rep: Br:

Release put up. Sr. No 9360

9360-4-97 & connected papers.

Sent to your Br. per G.C. No. 4917

from DC Tumkur Dr: 465-8

8-11-97.

AK

24/2/98

Petr. for

Refers for

There are two petitions for dismissal

AK

28/2/98

26/2/98.

Submitted

Appeal against the order of the Asst Commr dated 3.1.96 approving of the action of the Taluk Authorities in rejecting petn to obtain Katabi registered during Fasli (Inam Land Petn claimed to be his own as there was no relinquishment by him)

Stamp fee Rs 2 paid

28/2/98

Open file

Issue Court

COURT FEE

14.1.98

COURT FEE

14.1.98

1883

MYSORE DEWAN'S

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

20-1-98

DATE

14.1.98

14.1.98

e said lands were included amongst
aste lands after omitting that year's
ssessment, your letter to the Deputy Commr:
aying that the said lands might be
ntirement to you has been sent to this office
r disposal. A report was called for from
the Amildar. The Amildar reported in his
eport No. 218 dated 27 to the effect that on your
equest the said lands were included as
aste ones after remission of assessment;
at as Pattahats were received from
e ryots for the said lands, steps were
eing taken for selling the same in auction;
nd that you were informed that you might
ain the same in the said auction sale.
Amildar's audit is reasonable. If you want
lands you may obtain the same in open
mpetition at the sale.

Ryot may be only asked for remission
f never relinquished the lands; that he
as invested money on the same; that
above order declaring to confirm the
hate in his name is legal; that he
ubmitted a Petition for remission
aid owing to a fear that his appointment
ight be in Ryotwari state;

Report on perusal of the records
orders might be passed to have lands
egistered in his name.

Enclosures

1) Copy of this office audit No. 2547 dt. 4-5-97
2) Petn of Ry Commr: with audit dt. 21-12-97 thereon
3) Ist Asst Commrs order dt. 3-1-98
4) Dft audit No. 512 dt. 2-2-97
5) Dft Petition w/ 3 Pattahats & Receipts dt. 8-1-98

28/1/98

(13)

L.R. 118-95-13.

Read.

Letter no 465 of the 8th November 1897, (despatched on the 6th December 1897) from the Dy: Commr: Trichinopoly, submitting for sanction, in pursuance of the order contained in Govt. Proc. no 9760 of the 30th April 1897, P 1636 a refund bill in favour of Venkata Rao, Shanbogue of Mudigere in the Kadoor South, for Rs 141-8-0, being the amount of peishkash recovered from him for certain lands under the Mudigere Tank prior to the receipt of the aforesaid order, sanctioning the Exemption of the Kadoor Tank for the year 1895-96

and order thereon

The bill is sanctioned & forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

To the Dy. Commr: Trichinopoly.

The Comptroller with the bill.

x Land Revenue 136-4-4
Local Cess 8-2-0
144-6-4

No 72 H-7 dt 1-2-98
H 7 L 671 1
Er. 2 4/98

ನಂಬರ. 1883 ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ 5 L.R. 118-95-14

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಆರ್ಡೀನಾದಾರ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಿರ್ದಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ ಕಳಿಸಿ ಬರಬೇಕು

ಇರುವ ಕ್ಲರ್ಕ್ ಪೆಷ್ಕಾರ್ ಗೆ ಇಂಜಾರನ ಹುಕುಂ

ನೀನು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ 15-1-98 ನೇ ಮುದ್ದುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಯಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ಕಡತವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ಬರೆದು ಕಡತವನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಬಿತ್ತರಿಸಲು ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಸನ್ 1898 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವೆಂಬರ್ ತಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. By Order,

9/3/98

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—21-9-93.—8,000.

ಇಂದಿನ ಕಛೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸ
1/3/98

Reference L R 118-93-15

Rel-529

(15) 1898

No. 3108

Name of Petitioner [illegible]

Village Mirajgaon

Taluk Nagpur

District Jamnalkot

Date of Arzi 25-6-98

Date of Receipt 30-6-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 7

ABSTRACT

[illegible]

their sale - If you wish you may view the date from the Sheriff's, be present at the sale and bid for and obtain any land you chose - Moreover you have yourself written your Khasra for some of the above lands - Hence your prayer cannot be complied with -

The petitioner says: -

Previous to the submission of Register by the Tehsildar, who has paid the sale amounts of the said land, submitted petitions which were not disposed of in time - some time having been spent in the Tahsil, some in the Dy. Comm's. office and some in the office of the Assistant Comm. for the disposal of the said petitions he was not able to get Equitable orders - In pursuance of Govt no. 1883 d/9-3-98 he submitted a petition to the Dy. Comm. on 22-3-98 - In reply to the Govt Govt, no. 457 on 15-6-98 after a delay of three months - If the Govt. takes the above delay into consideration Govt. will see that he submitted application for the land for which he has paid the sale amounts previous to the application of the Tehsildar.

Prays that on perusal of the records Equitable orders may be passed -

BK
2/7/98

Enclosures

1. This office Govt. no. 1883 d/9-3-98
1. Petn to Dy. Comm. with his Govt. no. 457 d/5-6-98.
3. This office Govt. no. 2547 d/4-5-97
4. Petn to Dy. Comm. with Govt: no. 801 d/1-12-97
5. Dy. Comm's Govt. no. 512 d/2-10-97
6. Copy of Register no. 46 d/8-1-98
7. Asst's Comm's Govt. d/3-1-98.

[illegible] Register, signed at the end
and at the beginning of each [illegible] - the owner -
[illegible] upon request the assessment
for that year and [illegible] and the
land not subject to such [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
that the said land may be [illegible]
by us. [illegible] which land
has not been [illegible] and not
to the [illegible]

+ The [illegible] is hereby [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
Request the assessment of said land
[illegible] as provided. And the land
can be included in the [illegible] - that
the people have [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] for the [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] and that if you sign you may
be present at the [illegible], and [illegible]
Main Line.

The Department [illegible] the [illegible]
If you wish [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] for and obtain the land.

The [illegible] [illegible] the 5th of
2-10-97 is as follows:

And your petition praying for the cor-
rection of your Register for the
[illegible] Sec 34, 38, the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible] land and the [illegible]
the same [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible]
of [illegible] application [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] and
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

3108

MYSORE LAW REPORTS OF THE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 3108
DATE 30-6-98

L.R. 118-95-16.

(16)

B.O

Fr. Levy.

c/.

(316)

B.O.

Fr. Levy.